

requirements, or any other order of the Court, may result in this case being dismissed without further notice.

(D.E. 6 at 2.)

On March 10, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion to support his complaint with exhibits. (D.E. 13.) The motion does not comply with Local Rule 7.2(a)(1) because it does not contain a certificate of service. The motion also does not comport with Local Rule 7.2(a)(1)(B) which requires that

[a]ll motions . . . shall be accompanied by a certificate of counsel affirming that, after consultation between the parties to the controversy, they are unable to reach an accord as to all issues or that all other parties are in agreement with the action requested by the motion. Failure to attach an accompanying certificate of consultation may be deemed good grounds for denying the motion.

Therefore, the motion (D.E. 13) is DENIED. Plaintiff is again directed to familiarize himself with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the local rules of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 4th day of January, 2011.

s/ J. DANIEL BREEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE